

## Common Fixed Points of Single-Valued and Multi-Valued Mappings in $S$ -Metric Spaces

A. POURGHOLAM<sup>1</sup>, M. SABBAGHAN<sup>2</sup> AND F. TALEGHANI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,  
Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, IRAN.

<sup>1</sup>pourgholam.akbar@yahoo.com, <sup>2</sup>masoudsabbaghan@liau.ac.ir, E-mail  
address on sabbatical leave: masoudsabbaghan99@gmail.com,  
<sup>3</sup>taleghani@liau.ac.ir

**Abstract.** In this paper, the notion of limit property (-Tayyab kamran, 2004-) and common limit property (-Yicheng Liu & Jun Wu & Zhixiang Li, 2005-) for single-valued and multi-valued mappings on metric spaces are generalized to  $S$ -metric spaces. This idea is used to make some common fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings by using a generalization of coincidence point in  $S$ -metric spaces. We give an example of an  $S$ -metric which is not continuous.

*Key words and Phrases:* Coincidence point, Common fixed point, Hausdorff  $S$ -metric, Limit property.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Metric spaces are very important in mathematics. Generalized metric spaces can be pointed out as  $b$ -metric,  $D$ -metric and fuzzy metric spaces. For more considerations, see [2, 13, 4, 15]. In 2012, another generalized metric space called  $S$ -metric space was introduced by Sedghi et al. [16]. In the setting of  $S$ -metric space see, for example [5, 9, 12, 14], and the references therein. For application of fixed points and common fixed points in different fields such as fractional calculus, existence theory in fractional boundary value problems, see [1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 11].

In this paper, some common fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings are proved in  $S$ -metric spaces by using a generalization of coincidence point for pairs  $(f, F)$ ,  $(f, F)$  and  $(g, G)$  in which the mappings  $f$  and  $g$  are single-valued and the mappings  $F$  and  $G$  are multi-valued mappings with values in  $S$ -metric space  $(CB(X), S_H)$ , where  $S_H$  is the Hausdorff  $S$ -metric.

In section 2, some preliminaries are recalled. In section 3, we state our main theorem. Section 4 is the conclusions.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Received: 26-11-2021, accepted: 08-03-2022.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section some definitions, lemmas, theorems, and example are recalled.

**Definition 2.1.** [16] For nonempty set  $X$ ,  $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an  $S$ -metric on  $X$  if

- (1):  $S(x, y, z) = 0$  iff  $x = y = z$ ;
- (2):  $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .  $(X, S)$  is called an  $S$ -metric space.

**Example 2.2.** (1): Assume  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $X = [\alpha, \infty)$ . Define

$S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$S(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z; \\ \max\{x, y, z\} - \alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The mapping  $S$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $X$ . We call it the max  $S$ -metric.

(2): Let  $X = [0, \infty)$ . Define  $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$S(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z; \\ x + y + 2z & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $S$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [16] In  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$ , assume that  $x$  is an element of  $X$ , and  $r > 0$ .

- (1): An open ball  $B_s(x, r)$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  is defined by  $B_s(x, r) = \{y \in X : S(y, y, x) < r\}$ .
- (2): A sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $y$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y_n, y_n, y) = 0$ . In this case, we write  $y_n \rightarrow y$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ .
- (3): A sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S(y_n, y_n, y_m) = 0$ .
- (4):  $(X, S)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence converges.
- (5): A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called bounded if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $S(a, a, b) < \epsilon$ .

In  $(X, S)$ , we set  $\tau = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ is a union of open balls}\}$ .  $\tau$  is a topology and we set  $CB(X) = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ is nonempty closed and bounded}\}$ .

**Example 2.4.** Consider  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the max  $S$ -metric. Then, for  $a \in X$  and

$$r > 0, \text{ we have: } B_s(a, r) = \begin{cases} [0, r) & \text{if } a < r; \\ \{a\} & \text{if } a \geq r. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. We say  $S$  is continuous if  $S(x_n, y_n, z_n) \rightarrow S(x, y, z)$ , whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$ ,  $z_n \rightarrow z$ .

**Example 2.6.** On  $X = [0, \infty)$ , define

$$S(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 3); \\ |x - z| + |y - z| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$S$  is a  $S$ -metric on  $X$  and it is not continuous. In fact, we have:

$$x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1, \quad y_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n} \rightarrow 2, \quad z_n = 3 + \frac{3}{n} \rightarrow 3.$$

But

$$3 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, y_n, z_n) \neq S(1, 2, 3) = 1.$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. We define  $S_H : CB(X)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , by

$$S_H(A, B, C) = H_s(A, C) + H_s(B, C),$$

where  $H_s(A, B) = \max\{h_s(A, B), h_s(B, A)\}$ ,

$$h_s(A, B) = \sup\{S(a, a, B) : a \in A\} \text{ and}$$

$$S(a, a, B) = \inf\{S(a, a, b) : b \in B\}.$$

For more information see [14].

**Theorem 2.8.** [14]  $S_H$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $CB(X)$ .

We call  $S_H$  the Hausdorff  $S$ -metric on  $CB(X)$  generated by  $S$ .

**Remark 2.9.** In Example 2.2(1) let  $u$  be a nondecreasing continuous function on  $X = [\alpha, \infty)$  and let  $F(x) = [\alpha, u(x)]$ . We have:

$$H_s(Fx, Fy) = \begin{cases} u(y) - \alpha & \text{if } y \geq x; \\ u(x) - \alpha & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. The set of all nonempty compact subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $K(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** [14] Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric spaces. Then,  $(K(X), S_H)$  is a complete  $S$ -metric space.

The converse is also true. In fact, suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, S)$ . By Theorem 3.4 [14], we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(\{x_n\}, \{x_n\}, \{x_m\}) = 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ . That is,  $\{\{x_n\}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(K(X), S_H)$ . So, by Lemma 3.9 [14], there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow \{x\}$ . That is,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space.

- (1) The mappings  $f : X \rightarrow X$  and  $F : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  are given. We say  $f$  and  $F$  have a coincidence point at  $a \in X$  if  $f(a) \in F(a)$ , also, we say  $f$  and  $F$  have a common fixed point at  $a \in X$  if  $f(a) = a \in F(a)$ .
- (2) The mapping  $F : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is given. We say the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is  $F$ -weakly commuting at  $x \in X$  if  $f(f(x)) \in F(f(x))$ .

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. The mappings  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  and  $F, G : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  are given.

- (1) We say the pair  $(f, F)$  satisfies the limit property if there exist a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ , some  $t \in X$  and  $A \in CB(X)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n$  (see [10]).
- (2) We say The pairs  $(f, F)$  and  $(g, G)$  satisfy the common limit property if there exist two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$ ,  $t \in X$ , and  $A, B \in CB(X)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = A$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gy_n = B$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = t \in A \cap B$  (see [19]).

### 3. MAIN RESULT

In this section we state our mean theorem. Some examples and theorems follow up.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a self-mapping on an  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  and let  $F$  be a multi-valued mapping from  $X$  into  $CB(X)$  such that*

- (1): *The pair  $(f, F)$  satisfies the limit property;*  
 (2): *For all two distinct elements  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Fy) < \max\{S(fx, fx, fy), S(fx, fx, Fx) + S(fy, fy, Fy), S(fx, fx, Fy) + S(fy, fy, Fx)\}. \quad (1)$$

If  $fX$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , then

- (a):  *$f$  and  $F$  have a coincidence point.*  
 (b):  *$f$  and  $F$  have a common fixed point provided that for each  $v \in C(f, F)$ , the mapping  $f$  is  $F$ -weakly commuting at  $v$  and  $ffv = fv$ , where  $C(f, F) = \{a \in X : fa \in Fa\}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exist a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ ,  $t \in X$  and  $A \in CB(X)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = t \in \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = A$ . Also there exists  $a \in X$  such that  $t = f(a)$ . We put  $x = x_n$  and  $y = a$  in inequality (1) to obtain:

$$S_H(Fx_n, Fx_n, Fa) < \max\{S(fx_n, fx_n, fa), S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_n) + S(fa, fa, Fa), S(fx_n, fx_n, Fa) + S(fa, fa, Fx_n)\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 [14], It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_n, Fx_n, Fa) = S_H(A, A, Fa) \leq S(fa, fa, Fa).$$

By definition of  $S_H$  we have

$$2S(fa, fa, Fa) \leq S_H(A, A, Fa) \leq S(fa, fa, Fa).$$

That is,  $S(fa, fa, Fa) = 0$ . So,  $f(a) \in F(a)$ . This proves (a). To prove (b), by (a), there exist  $t, a \in X$  such that  $t = fa \in Fa$ . Since  $a \in C(f, F)$ , So  $ffa = fa$  and  $ffa \in Ffa$ . Hence,  $ft = t \in Ft$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Consider  $X = [1, \infty)$  with the max  $S$ -metric. Define  $f : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $F : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  as  $f(x) = x^3$  and  $F(x) = \left[1, \frac{x^2+1}{2x}\right]$  respectively. The pair  $(f, F)$  satisfies the limit property. In fact, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1 \in \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = \{1\}.$$

For any two distinct elements  $x, y \in X$ , the inequality (1) holds. For example, in the case  $x < y$ , by Remark 2.9 we have

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Fy) = 2H_S(Fx, Fy) = \frac{y^2 + 1}{y} - 2.$$

On the other hand,  $S(fx, fx, fy) = S(Fx^3, Fx^3, Fy^3) = y^3 - 1$ . So,

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Fy) < \max\{S(fx, fx, fy), S(fx, fx, Fx) + S(fy, fy, Fy), \\ S(fx, fx, Fy) + S(fy, fy, Fx)\}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.1,  $f$  and  $F$  have a coincidence point. That is,  $f(1) \in F(1)$ . Since  $ff(1) = f(1)$  and  $ff(1) \in F(1)$ ,  $f$  and  $F$  have common fixed point 1.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f$  be a self-mapping on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  and let  $F$  be a multi-valued mapping from  $X$  into  $K(X)$  and let  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$  be a constant such that for all two distinct members  $x, y \in X$ :

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Fy) \leq \lambda \max\{S(fx, fx, fy), S(fx, fx, Fx), S(fy, fy, Fy), \\ S(fx, fx, Fy) + S(fy, fy, Fx)\}. \quad (2)$$

If  $fX$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $Fx \subseteq K(fX)$ , then

- (a):  $f$  and  $F$  have a coincidence point;
- (b):  $f$  and  $F$  have a common fixed point provided that for each  $v \in C(f, F)$ ,  $f$  is  $F$ -weakly commuting at  $v$  and  $ffv = fv$ , where  $C(f, F) = \{a \in X : fa \in Fa\}$ .

*Proof.* Since for each  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\emptyset \neq Fx_0 \subseteq fX$ , there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $y_1 = fx_1 \in Fx_0$ . So, by Lemma 3.11 [14], there exists  $y_2 = fx_2 \in Fx_1$  such that

$$S(y_1, y_1, y_2) < \frac{1}{2}S_H(Fx_0, Fx_0, Fx_1) + \lambda.$$

We obtain a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $y_n = fx_n \in Fx_{n-1}$  and

$$S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2}S_H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n) + \lambda^n \\ \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \max\{S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_n), S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}), \\ S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_n), S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, Fx_n) + S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_{n-1})\} + \lambda^n.$$

Set  $a_n = S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})$ . Since  $fx_n \in Fx_{n-1}$ ,  $S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_{n-1}) = 0$ . So,

$$a_n < \frac{\lambda}{2} \max\{a_{n-1}, S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}), S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_n), \\ S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, Fx_n)\} + \lambda^n.$$

We know

$$S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \leq S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_n) = a_{n-1}, S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_n) \leq a_n, \\ S(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, Fx_n) \leq S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) \leq 2S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n) \\ + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_n) = 2a_{n-1} + a_n.$$

So,  $a_n < \frac{\lambda}{2}(2a_{n-1} + a_n) + \lambda^n$ . That is,  $a_n < \frac{\lambda}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}a_{n-1} + \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ . By induction, we have

$$a_n < \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right)^n \left[ a_0 + 1 + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 + \cdots + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n-1} \right] \\ \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right)^n \left[ a_0 + 1 + (n-1)\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right]. \\ \text{Set } b_n = \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}\right)^n \left[ a_0 + 1 + (n-1)\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right].$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = \frac{\lambda}{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}} < 1$ , so,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

Now, we show that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

For all  $m, n \in N, m \geq n$ , by Lemma 3.1 [18]

$$S(y_n, y_n, y_m) \leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-2} a_i + a_{m-1} \\ \leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2\lambda}{2-\lambda}\right)^i \left[ a_0 + 1 + (i-1)\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right] + \left(\frac{2\lambda}{2-\lambda}\right)^{m-1} \left[ a_0 + 1 + (m-2)\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right].$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S(y_n, y_n, y_m) = 0$ . So, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u$ . Since  $fX$  is closed, there exists  $a \in X$  such that  $fa = u$ . By putting  $x = x_n, y = x_m$  in (2) :

$$S_H(Fx_n, Fx_n, Fx_m) \leq \lambda \max\{S(fx_n, fx_n, fx_m), S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_n), \\ S(fx_m, fx_m, Fx_m), S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_m) + S(fx_m, fx_m, Fx_n)\} \quad (3)$$

Also:

$$S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_n) \leq S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}); \quad (4)$$

$$S(fx_m, fx_m, Fx_m) \leq S(y_m, y_m, y_{m+1}); \quad (5)$$

$$S(fx_n, fx_n, Fx_m) \leq S(y_n, y_n, y_{m+1}); \quad (6)$$

$$S(fx_m, fx_m, Fx_n) \leq S(y_m, y_m, y_{n+1}). \quad (7)$$

Relations (4 – 7) imply  $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_n, Fx_n, Fx_m) = 0$ .

So,  $\{Fx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Hence, by Theorem 2.10 there exists  $A \in K(X)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = A$ . Since  $S(y_n, y_n, A) \leq \frac{1}{2}S_H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}, A)$ . So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y_n, y_n, A) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.4 [14], for every  $n$ , there exists  $\alpha_n \in A$  such that  $S(y_n, y_n, A) = S(y_n, y_n, \alpha_n)$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y_n, y_n, \alpha_n) = 0$ . Lemma 2.1 [17], implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = u \in A$ . So  $(f, F)$  satisfies the limit property. The rest of the proof is similar to Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Example 3.4.** Consider  $X = [0, 1]$  with the max  $S$ -metric. For  $fx = x^3$  and  $Fx = [0, \frac{x^3}{8}]$ , the inequality (2) holds for all two distinct members  $x, y \in X$ . For example, in case  $x < y$ , by Remark 2.9,  $H_S(Fx, Fy) = \frac{y^3}{8}$ . Hence

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Fy) = 2H_S(Fx, Fy) = \frac{y^3}{4} = \frac{1}{4}S(fx, fx, fy) \leq \frac{1}{4} \max\{S(fx, fx, fy), S(fx, fx, Fx), S(fy, fy, Fy), S(fx, fx, Fy) + S(fy, fy, Fx)\}.$$

We have  $fX = X$  and  $FX \subseteq K(fX)$ . So all conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Hence,  $f$  and  $F$  have common fixed point 0.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $f, g$  be two self-mappings on an  $S$ -metric  $(X, S)$  and let  $F, G$  be two multi-valued mappings from  $X$  into  $CB(X)$  such that

- (1): The pairs  $(f, F)$  and  $(g, G)$  satisfy the common limit property;
- (2): For all two distinct members  $x, y \in X$ :

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) < \max\{S(fx, fx, gy), S(fx, fx, Fx) + S(gy, gy, Gy), S(fx, fx, Gy) + S(gy, gy, Fx)\}. \quad (8)$$

If  $fX, gX$  are closed subsets of  $X$ , then

- (a):  $f$  and  $F$  have coincidence point;
- (b):  $g$  and  $G$  have coincidence point;
- (c):  $f$  and  $F$  have common fixed point provided that for each  $v \in C(f, F)$ ,  $f$  be an  $F$ -weakly commuting at  $v$  and  $ffv = fv$ ;
- (d):  $g$  and  $G$  have common fixed point provided that for each  $v \in C(g, G)$ ,  $g$  be a  $G$ -weakly commuting at  $v$  and  $g gv = gv$ ;
- (e): If (c) and (d) hold, then  $f, g, F$  and  $G$  have common fixed point.

*Proof.* By assumption, there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  in  $X$  and  $u \in X, A, B \in CB(X)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gy_n = B$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n =$

$u \in A \cap B$ . Assume that  $v, w \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fv$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = gw$ . We have  $fv = gw = u \in A \cap B$ . To prove (a), we show,  $u = fv \in Fv$ . Put  $x = v$  and  $y = y_n$  in (8) and approach  $n$  to  $\infty$ , then  $S_H(Fv, Fv, B) \leq S(fv, fv, Fv)$ . Since

$$u = fv \in B, 2S(fv, fv, Fv) \leq S_H(Fv, Fv, B),$$

so,  $S(fv, fv, Fv) = 0$ . Therefore,  $u = fv \in Fv$ . Similarly, put  $x = x_n, y = w$  in (8) and we have  $u = gw \in Gw$ . Properties (c), (d), (e) are similar to Theorem 3.1(b).  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** Consider  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the max  $S$ -metric. For  $fx = x^3, Fx = [0, \frac{x^3}{8}]$  and  $gx = x^4, Gx = [0, \frac{x^4}{8}]$ , the pairs  $(f, F)$  and  $(g, G)$  satisfy the common limit property, in fact

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \{0\}.$$

For all distinct members  $x, y \in X$ , the inequality (8) holds.

For example, in case  $x < y$ , first assume  $x^3 < y^4$ . Since, for  $t \in Fx, S(t, t, Gy) = 0$ , so,  $h_S(Fx, Gy) = 0$ . Also since, for  $t \in Gy$ , we have

$$S(t, t, Fx) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in Fx \\ t & \text{if } t \in Gy - Fx, \end{cases}$$

so,  $h_S(Gy, Fx) = \sup\{S(t, t, Fx) : t \in Gy\} = \frac{y^4}{8}$ .

Hence,  $H_S(Fx, Gy) = \frac{y^4}{8}$  and  $S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) = \frac{y^4}{4}$ .

On the other hand, we have  $S(fx, fx, gy) = y^4$ , therefore the inequality (8) holds.

Now, assume  $y^4 < x^3$ . It can be shown that  $S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) = \frac{x^3}{4}$ .

On the other hand, we have  $S(fx, fx, gy) = x^3$ , so the inequality (8) holds. We have  $ff0 = f0 = 0 \in Ff0$ , and  $gg0 = g0 = 0 \in Gg0$ . So, all conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. Therefore,  $f, g, F$  and  $G$  have common fixed point. That is,  $f0 = g0 = 0 \in F0 \cap G0 = \{0\}$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** If in Theorem 3.5 we set  $F = G$ , and  $f = g$ , Theorem 3.1 follows.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $f, g$  be two self-mappings on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  and let  $F, G$  be two multi-valued mappings from  $X$  into  $K(X)$  and let  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$  be a constant such that for all two distinct members  $x, y \in X$  :

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) \leq \lambda \max\{S(fx, fx, gy), S(fx, fx, Fx), S(gy, gy, Gy), S(fx, fx, Gy) + S(gy, gy, Fx)\}. \quad (9)$$

If  $fX, gX$  are closed subsets of  $X$  and  $FX \subseteq K(gX), GX \subseteq K(fX)$ , then

- (a):  $f$  and  $F$  have coincidence point;
- (b):  $g$  and  $G$  have coincidence point;
- (c):  $f$  and  $F$  have common fixed point provided that for each  $v \in C(f, F)$ ,  $f$  be an  $F$ -weakly commuting mapping at  $v$  and  $ffv = fv$ ;



(d):  $g$  and  $G$  have common fixed point provided that for each  $v \in C(g, G)$ ,  $g$  is an  $G$ -weakly commuting mapping at  $v$  and  $gv = gv$ ;

(e): If (c) and (d) hold, then  $f, g, F$  and  $G$  have common fixed point.

*Proof.* For  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $y_1 = gx_1 \in Fx_0$ . So, by Lemma 3.11 [14], there exists  $y_2 \in Gx_1$  such that

$$S(y_1, y_1, y_2) < \frac{1}{2}S_H(Fx_0, Fx_0, Gx_1) + \lambda.$$

There exists  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $y_2 = fx_2 \in Gx_1$ . So, there exists  $y_3 \in Fx_2$  such that

$$S(y_2, y_2, y_3) < \frac{1}{2}S_H(Gx_1, Gx_1, Fx_2) + \lambda^2.$$

We obtain a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  such that for every  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$y_{2n} = fx_{2n} \in Gx_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} \in Fx_{2n}.$$

We have

$$S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) < \frac{1}{2}S_H(Gx_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n-1}, Fx_{2n}) + \lambda^{2n};$$

$$S(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) < \frac{1}{2}S_H(Fx_{2n-2}, Fx_{2n-2}, Gx_{2n-1}) + \lambda^{2n-1}.$$

Set  $a_n = S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})$ . Similar to Theorem 3.3, it can be shown that

$$a_{2n} < \frac{\lambda}{2}(2a_{2n-1} + a_{2n}) + \lambda^{2n}, \quad a_{2n-1} < \frac{\lambda}{2}(2a_{2n-2} + a_{2n-1}) + \lambda^{2n-1}.$$

So, for every  $n \in N$ , we have

$a_n < \frac{\lambda}{2}(2a_{n-1} + a_n) + \lambda^n$ . Similar to Theorem 3.3, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. So, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{2n+1} = u$ , and there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $fa = gb = u$ . To show  $\{Fx_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2m}) &\leq 2S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + S_H(Fx_{2m}, Fx_{2m}, Gx_{2n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By (9) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) &\leq \lambda \max\{S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}), \\ &\quad S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, Gx_{2n+1}), S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) + S(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n})\}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) = 0$ .

Similarly, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_{2m}, Fx_{2m}, Gx_{2n+1}) = 0$ . It follows from (10) that  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2m}) = 0$ . So, by Theorem 2.10, there exists  $A \in K(X)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_{2n} = A$ . Now, assume that the left side of inequality (9) is  $S(fx, fx, gy)$ . Then, we have

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) \leq \lambda S(fx, fx, gy). \quad (11)$$

Put  $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (11) to obtain

$$S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda S(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}).$$

So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) = 0.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_{2n} = A$ , by Lemma 2.1 [17],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_{2n+1} = A$ . Assume that the left side of inequality (9) is  $S(fx, fx, Gy) + S(gy, gy, Fx)$ . Then, we have

$$S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) \leq \lambda[S(fx, fx, Gy) + S(gy, gy, Fx)]. \quad (12)$$

Put  $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) &\leq \lambda[S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) + S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n})] \\ &\leq \lambda[S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}) + S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}) = 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 [17],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_{2n+1} = A$ . Similarly, if the left side of inequality (9) is  $S(fx, fx, Fx)$  or  $S(gy, gy, Gy)$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_{2n+1} = A$ . On the other hand, we have:

$$S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, A) \leq \frac{1}{2} S_H(Fx_{2n}, Fx_{2n}, A).$$

So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, A) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.4 [14], for every  $n$ , there exists  $\alpha_{2n+1} \in A$  such that,

$$S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, A) = S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+1}).$$

So,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+1}) = 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.1 [17],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{2n+1} = u$ . So  $u \in A$ . That is,  $(f, F), (g, G)$  satisfy the common limit property. The rest of the proof is similar to Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**Example 3.9.** In Example 3.6, for all distinct members  $x, y \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_H(Fx, Fx, Gy) &= \frac{1}{4} S(fx, fx, gy) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \max\{S(fx, fx, gy), S(fx, fx, Fx), S(gy, gy, Gy), \\ &\quad S(fx, fx, Gy) + S(gy, gy, Fx)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, all conditions of Theorem 3.8 are satisfied. That is,  $f, g, F$  and  $G$  have common fixed point.

**Corollary 3.10.** *If in Theorem 3.8 we set  $F = G$ , and  $f = g$ , Theorem 3.3 follows.*

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

We generalized some theorems in fixed point theorem work. Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 3.4 of Tayyab Kamran, 2004 [10]. Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 are generalizations of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.8 of Yicheng Liu, Jun Wu, Zhixiang Li, 2005 [19], for single-valued and multi-valued mappings on  $S$ -metric and  $S_H$ -metric spaces respectively. We showed that not every  $S$ -metric is necessarily continuous.

The notion of compatible for single-valued and multi-valued mappings can be defined to investigate the existence of fixed points in  $S$ -metric spaces. Also, the existence of solution for certain nonlinear integral equations can be investigated in a future work.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the referee for his/ her valuable comments and suggestions that improved the original version.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Afshari, H., Jarad, F., and Abdeljawad, T., "On a new fixed point theorem with on application on a coupled system of fractional differential equations", *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, Article No. 461 (2020), 1-13, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02926-0>.
- [2] Bakhtin, I.A., "The contraction mapping principal in almost metric spaces", *Funct. Anal.*, **30** (1989), 26-37.
- [3] Baleanu, D., Etemad, S., and Rezapour, S., "On a fractional hybrid multi-term integro-differential inclusion with four-point sum and integral boundary conditions", *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, Article No. 25 (2020), 1-20, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02713-x>.
- [4] Dhage, B.C., "Generalized metric spaces mappings with fixed point", *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **84** (1992), 329-336.
- [5] Dung, N.V., and Hieu, S. Radojevic, N.T., "Fixed point theorems for g-monotone maps on partially ordered  $S$ -metric spaces", *Filomat*, (2014), 1885-1898.
- [6] Etemad, S., Hussain, A., Imran, A., Alzabut, J., Rezapour, S., and George, A., "On a fractional cantilever beam model in the q-difference inclusion settings via special multi-valued operators", *J Inequal Appl* **2021**, Article No. 174 (2021), 1-20, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-021-02708-6>.
- [7] S. Etemad, Rezapour, S., and Samei, M.E., " $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions and solutions of a  $q$ -fractional differential inclusion with three-point boundary value conditions via computational results", *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, Article No. 218 (2020), 1-40, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02679-w>.
- [8] Etemad, S., Souid, M.S., Telli, B., Kaabar, M.K.A., and Rezapour, S., "Investigation of the neutral fractional differential inclusions of Katugampola-type involving both retarded and advanced arguments via Kuratowski MNC technique", *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, Article No. 214 (2021), 1-20, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03377-x>.
- [9] Gupta, V., Deep, R., "Some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered  $S$ -metric spaces", *Miskols mathematical notes*, **16:1** (2015), 181-194.
- [10] Kamran, T., "Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2991** (2004), 235-241.

- [11] Mohammadi, H., Rezapour, S., Etemad, S., and Baleanu, D., "Two sequential fractional hybrid differential inclusions", *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, Article No. 385 (2020), 1-24, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02850-3>.
- [12] Mojaradiafra, J., and Sabbaghan, M., "Some new applications of S-metric spaces by weakly compatible pairs with limit property", *J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.*, **28:1**, (2021), 1-13.
- [13] Nyein, E.E., and Zaw, A.K., "Quasi  $(s, r)$ -contractive multi-valued operators on  $b$ -metric space and related fixed point theorems", *J. Indones. Math. Soc.*, **26:3**, (2020), 393-402.
- [14] Pourgholam, A., and Sabbaghan, M., " $S_H$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems for multi-valued weak contraction mappings", *Mathematical science*, **15:4**, (2021), 377-385.
- [15] Sedghi, S., and Shobe, N., "Fixed point theorem in M-fuzzy metric spaces with property (E)", *Adv in fuzzy Math*, **1:1**, (2006), 55-65.
- [16] Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., and Aliouche, A., "A generalization of fixed point theorems in  $S$ -metric spaces", *Mat. Vesn*, **64:3**, (2012), 258-266.
- [17] Sedghi, S., Shobkolaei, N., Shahraki, M., and Dosenovic, T., "Common fixed point of four maps in  $S$ -metric spaces", *Mathematical Sciences*, (2018), 137-143.
- [18] Shrivastava, S., Daheriya, R., and Ughade, M., " $S$ -metric space, expanding mappings & fixed point theorems", *International journal of scientific and innovative mathematical research*, (2006), 1-12.
- [19] Yicheng, L., Jun, W., and Zhixiang, L., "Common fixed points of single-valued and multi-valued maps", *International Journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences*, (2005), 3045-3055.